



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,590	06/23/2003	Kyung Man Kim	8733.853.00	5502
7590	12/30/2004		EXAMINER	
SONG K. JUNG				ROY, SIKHA
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP				
1900 K Street, NW				
Washington, DC 20006				
				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
				2879

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10/1

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,590	KIM, KYUNG MAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sikha Roy	2879	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0603</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 10 [00045] line 3, 'absorbent 20' should be replaced by --absorbent 220--.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 7. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,707,248 to Burroughes et al., and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,255,774 to Pichler.

Regarding claim 1 Burroughes discloses (Fig. 2 column 3 lines 29-35 column 4 lines 6-50) an organic electroluminescent device comprising an anode substrate 10, a thin organic layer (active layer) having hole transport or injecting layer 13, organic light-emitting layer 15, electron transport layer and a cathode 11 stacked sequentially and the cathode comprising three electrodes (layers) – first layer 15 of Ca, second layer 16 of lithium and third layer 17 of Al, stacked on the organic active region.

Burroughes does not exemplify the cathode comprising fourth electrode. Pichler in analogous art of multilayer cathode for organic light-emitting device discloses (column 1 line 67 through column 2 line 28, column 3 lines 58-67) the cathode comprising a thin layer of an alkali metal and the thin layer covered with a conductive layer of aluminum or aluminum alloy. Pichler further discloses such structure provides efficient injection of negative charge carriers and low operating voltage but prevents excessive doping and minimizes the risk of shorting of the device structure and quenching of the electroluminescence of at least one layer of organic material.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the first electrode (layer of Ca) of Burroughes by two electrodes – first one thin electrode of an alkali metal (constituting the first electrode) and second one of conductive material covering the thin electrode as taught by Pichler for providing efficient injection of negative charge carriers and low operating voltage and

preventing excessive doping and minimizing the risk of shorting of the device structure and quenching of the electroluminescence of at least one layer of organic material.

The combined structure of the cathode of Burroughes and Pichler comprises four electrodes – first electrode of Ca (alkali metal, alkali earth metal), second electrode of Al, third electrode of Li and fourth electrode of Al.

Regarding claim 2 Burroughes and Pichler disclose the first electrode (thin electrode) includes first metal (Ca) and the third electrode includes a second metal (Li).

Regarding claim 3 Pichler discloses (column 3 lines 58-65) the first metal includes one of an alkali metal and an alkali earth metal.

Regarding claim 4 Burroughes discloses (column 8 claim 10) the second metal includes one of an alkali metal and an alkali earth metal.

Regarding claims 5 and 6 Burroughes and Pichler disclose the first metal and second metal can be one of an alkali metal and alkali earth metal. Burroughes and Pichler do not explicitly disclose both metals to be the same. It is further noted that applicant's specific selection of the first metal and second metal being same does not solve any of the stated problem or yield any unexpected result, thus, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would consider the arrangement of having the first metal and second metal being same (alkali or alkali earth metal) as obvious matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 7 Burroughs and Pichler disclose the first metal (Ca) of first electrode and the second metal (Li) of third electrode are not the same.

Regarding claims 9 and 10 Burroughes and Pichler disclose the second electrode includes a first conducting metal Al and fourth electrode including a second conducting metal Al, first and second conducting metals being the same.

Regarding claims 11 and 12 Pichler and Burroughes disclose (column 4 lines 10-16 to Pichler, column 7 lines 18-2 to Burroughes) the first conducting metal Al and the second conducting metal Ag, not same as the first conducting metal.

Claim 17 recites the method of forming an organic electroluminescent device with the same limitations as of claim 1 and hence is rejected for the same reason (see rejection of claim 1).

Claims 13, 14 and 18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,707,248 to Burroughes et al., and U.S. Patent 6,255,774 to Pichler and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (referred as AAPA).

Regarding claim 13 Burroughes and Pichler do not disclose sealing member combined with the anode substrate to enclose the anode substrate, the thin organic layer and the cathode.

AAPA discloses ([00014] to [00019]) the organic electroluminescent device is enclosed within a sealing member 18 for preventing contact with oxygen or moisture causing degradation of the luminescence characteristic of the organic layer.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to enclose the anode substrate, the thin organic layer and the cathode of

Burroughes and Pichler in a sealing member as taught by AAPA for preventing contact with oxygen or moisture causing degradation of the luminescence characteristic of the organic layer.

Regarding claim 14 AAPA discloses an absorbent adhered to the sealing member in a position facing the cathode to remove moisture from the inner space.

Claims 18 and 19 essentially recite the method of forming an organic electroluminescent device having the same limitations as of claims 13 and 14 respectively and hence are rejected for the same reasons.

Claims 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,707,248 to Burroughes et al., and U.S. Patent 6,255,774 to Pichler and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,731,661 to So et al.

Regarding claim 15 Burrouhges and Pichler are silent about a protection layer coated on the anode substrate, the thin organic layer and the cathode.

So in same field of endeavor of organic electroluminescent devices discloses (Fig. 3 column 2 lines 1,2 column 3 lines 1-9) a protection layer (passivating or sealing layer) 18 coated on the anode substrate, organic layer and the cathode. So further notes that this configuration provides improved method of passivating electroluminescent organic devices which is relatively convenient and inexpensive to perform and provides long term stability of the device.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a protection layer as taught by So on the anode substrate,

organic layer and the cathode of Burroughes and Pichler for protecting the device from oxygen and moisture in a convenient and inexpensive way.

Claim 20 essentially recites the method of forming the organic electroluminescent device with same limitation as of claim 15 and hence is rejected for the same reason.

Claims 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,707,248 to Burroughes et al., U.S. Patent 6,255,774 to Pichler and U.S. Patent 5,731,661 to So et al. and further in view of AAPA.

Regarding claim 16 Burroughes, Pichler and So do not disclose the absorbent on the upper surface of the protection layer.

AAPA discloses an absorbent attached to the sealing material to remove moisture from the inner space.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the absorbent on the upper surface of the protection layer of Burroughes, Pichler and So for removing moisture from the space around the protection layer and thus reducing ingress of moisture into the organic electroluminescent device.

Claim 21 essentially recites the method of forming the organic electroluminescent device with same limitation as of claim 16 and hence is rejected for the same reason.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 5,652,067 to Ito and U.S. Patent Application

Art Unit: 2879

Publication 20030224204 disclose organic electroluminescent device having cathode with multi-layered structure.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.R.

Sikha Roy
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2879



NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800